Discussion 1C

CS 70, Summer 2024

This content is protected and may not be shared, uploaded, or distributed.

1 The Triangle Inequality

- (a) Base case. By the triangle inequality, $|x_1 + x_2| \le |x_1| + |x_2|$.
- (b) Induction hypothesis. For some $n \ge 2$, suppose that

$$|x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_{n-1}| \le |x_1| + |x_2| + \ldots + |x_n|$$

holds.

(c) Induction step. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

 $|x_{1} + \ldots + x_{n+1}| = |(x_{1} + \ldots + x_{n}) + x_{n+1}|$ $\leq |x_{1} + \ldots + x_{n}| + |x_{n+1}| \qquad \text{(triangle inequality)}$ $\leq |x_{1}| + \ldots + |x_{n}| + |x_{n+1}|. \qquad \text{(induction hypothesis)}$

2 Binary Numbers

By strong induction on n.

Base case. $n = 1 = 1 \cdot 2^0$.

Induction case.

Induction hypothesis. Suppose that for all $1 \le m \le n$, we can write k in binary.

Induction step. Consider n + 1. We consider the two cases where n + 1 is even and n + 1 is odd.

(1) n+1 is even. Then $(n+1)/2 \le n$ is an integer. By the induction hypothesis, we can write (n+1)/2 in binary. That is, there exist $b_0, \ldots, b_k \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$(n+1)/2 = b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \ldots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + b_0 \cdot 2^0.$$

Then

$$(n+1)/2 = b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + c_0 \cdot 2^0$$

$$n+1 = 2 \left(b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + b_0 \cdot 2^0 \right)$$

$$= b_k \cdot 2^{k+1} + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^k + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^2 + b_0 \cdot 2^1$$

$$= c_{k+1} \cdot 2^{k+1} + c_k \cdot 2^k + \dots + c_2 \cdot 2^2 + c_1 \cdot 2^1 + c_0 \cdot 2^0,$$

where $c_j = d_{j-1}$ for $1 \le j \le k+1$ and $c_0 = 0$.

(2) n+1 is odd. Then n is even and by the induction hypothesis, there exist $b_0, \ldots, b_k \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$n = b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \ldots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + b_0 \cdot 2^0.$$

We claim that $b_0 = 0$. In particular,

$$n = b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \ldots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + b_0 \cdot 2^0$$

= 2 (b_k \cdot 2^{k-1} + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-2} + \ldots + b_1 \cdot 2^0) + b_0

If $b_0 = 1$, then n is of the form $2\ell + 1$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, and is therefore odd. This is a contradiction, so it must be that $b_0 = 0$. Then

$$n = b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + 0 \cdot 2^0$$

$$n + 1 = b_k \cdot 2^k + b_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + b_1 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^0$$

$$= c_k \cdot 2^k + c_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + c_1 \cdot 2^1 + c_0 \cdot 2^0,$$

where $c_j = b_j$ for $1 \le j \le k$ and $c_0 = 1$.

By the principle of mathematical induction, we have shown the claim.

3 Stones

- (a) When n = 1 or n = 4, Beomgyu, the next player, wins. When n = 2 or n = 3, Charlize, the current player wins.
- (b) We conjecture that when n = 3k + 1, Beomgyu, the next player, wins, and that when n = 3k + 2 or n = 3k + 3, Charlize, the current player, wins.
- (c) We will prove the stronger claim that when n = 3k+2 or n = 3k+3, the current player wins, and that when n = 3k+1, the next player wins. We use strong induction.

Base case. n = 1. By part (a), the next player, Beomgyu, wins.

Induction case.

Induction hypothesis. Suppose that for each $m \in \{1, ..., n\}$, the claim is true for a pile with m stones.

Induction step. Consider a pile with n + 1 stones. There are three cases. Either n + 1 = 3k + 1, n + 1 = 3k + 2, or n + 1 = 3k + 3.

(1) n+1=3k+1. If the current player removes one stone from the pile, there will remain n=3k=3(k-1)+3 stones, and the opponent becomes the current player. By the induction hypothesis, the opponent wins.

If the current player removes two stones from the pile, there will remain n = 3k - 1 = 3(k - 1) + 2 stones, and the opponent becomes the current player. By the induction hypothesis, the opponent wins.

No matter what the current player does, their opponent wins. So the next player wins.

- (2) n + 1 = 3k + 2. Then the current player can remove one stone from the pile to get a pile with 3k + 1 stones, and the opponent becomes the current player. By the induction hypothesis, the opponent's next player (who is the current player) wins.
- (3) n + 1 = 3k + 3. Then the current player can remove two stones from the pile to get a pile with 3k + 1 stones. By the previous case, the current player wins.

In each case, the claim holds, so it holds in general.

By the principle of mathematical induction, we have proved our conjecture.

4 Make It Stronger

(a) We attempt a proof by induction.

Base case. For n = 1, $a_1 = 1 \le 9 = 3^{(2^1)}$.

Induction case.

Induction hypothesis. For some $n \ge 1$, suppose that $a_n \le 3^{(2^n)}$.

Induction step. Consider a_{n+1} .

$$a_{n+1} = 3a_n^2$$

$$\leq 3 \left(3^{(2^n)}\right)^2$$

$$= 3 \left(3^{(2\cdot2^n)}\right)$$

$$= 3 \left(3^{(2^{n+1})}\right)$$

$$= 3^{(2^{n+1}+1)}$$

$$\leq 3^{(2^{n+1})}.$$

(induction hypothesis)

(b) By induction.

Base case. For n = 1, $a_1 = 1 \le 9 = 3^{(2^1)}$. Induction case. **Induction hypothesis**. For some $n \ge 1$, suppose that $a_n \le 3^{(2^n-1)}$.

Induction step. Consider a_{n+1} .

$$a_{n+1} = 3a_n^2$$

$$\leq 3 \left(3^{(2^n-1)}\right)^2 \qquad \text{(induction hypothesis)}$$

$$= 3 \left(3^{(2\cdot 2^n - 2)}\right)$$

$$= 3 \left(3^{(2^{n+1}-2)}\right)$$

$$= 3^{(2^{n+1}-1)}.$$

By the principle of mathematical induction, we have shown that for every natural number $n \ge 1$, $a_n \le 3^{(2^n-1)}$. (c) For every $n \ge 1$, we have $2^n - 1 \le 2^n$ and so $3^{(2^n-1)} \le 3^{(2^n)}$.

By part (b), $a_n \leq 3^{(2^n-1)} \leq 3^{(2^n)}$, which is the claim we wanted to show in (a).